Sh.Rakesh Kumar, S/o Nem Chand, C/o Sh. Pankaj Verma, Advocate Chamber No. 506, Yadvindra Court Complex, Patiala

Public Information Officer. O/o Principal Secretary Higher Education Govt. of Punjab, Chandigarh

First Appellant Authority O/o Principal Secretary Higher Education Govt. of Punjab, Chandigarh

.....Respondent

.....Appellant

AC No. 2755 of 2021 (Through CISCO-Webex)

..Vs

Present: None for the parties.

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 23.08.2021 vide which last opportunity was given to the respondent to provide complete information to the appellant.

2. Today neither the appellant nor the respondent is present.

3. Since, both the parties are absent, hence last opportunity is given to the parties to appear before the Commission and state his case.

4. Since, my term is expiring on 07.09.2021, the next date of hearing in this case cannot be fixed. Therefore, this case file is sent to Registry for further necessary action and reallocation to some other bench.

Dated: 02.09.2021

Sd/-(Preety Chawla) State Information Commissioner Punjab

CC: (DR) alongwith original file



Sh. Suresh Kumar, S/o Sh. Om Parkash, I & II Floor, Ward No. 20, Piara Lal Thekedar Street, Mansa – 151505 (0165-2233614)

.....Appellant

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o SSP, Mansa

First Appellate Authority O/o Dy. Inspector General of Police Bathinda

.....Respondent

AC No. 3445 of 2020 Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Amritpal , ASI (978009839) in the Commission

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 10.08.2021 vide which the appellant wanted to attend the hearing in the Commission.

2. Today the appellant is not present.

3. The respondent Sh. Amritpal states that the information has already been sent to the appellant. He has also filed written reply stating that the information has been given to the appellant. He further adds that if the appellant is not satisfied , he can visit their office on any working day.

4. Since, the information has been provided to the appellant by the respondent and the appellant is not present, hence it is presumed that he is satisfied with the information provided by the respondent.

5. In view of the foregoing, no cause of action is left, hence the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated :02.09.2021

(Preety Chawla)

State Information Commissioner

Punjab

Note : After the order was dictated in the open court, the Complainant Sh. Suresh Kumar informed telephonically that he could not attend the hearing due to his personal reasons. He was read out the above order. Sd/-

Dated :02.09.2021

(Preety Chawla) State Information Commissioner Punjab



Sh. Suresh Kumar, S/o Sh. Om Parkash, I & II Floor, Ward No. 20, Piara Lal Thekedar Street, Mansa – 151505 (0165-2233614)

.....Appellant

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o SSP, Mansa

First Appellate Authority O/o Dy. Inspector General of Police Bathinda

.....Respondent

AC No. 3444 of 2020

(through CISCO WEBEX)

Present: (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant

(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Amritpal , ASI (978009839) in the Commission

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 10.08.2021 vide which the appellant wanted to attend the hearing in the Commission.

2. Today the appellant is not present.

3. The respondent Sh. Amritpal states that the information has already been sent to the appellant. He has also filed written reply stating that the information has been given to the appellant. He further adds that if the appellant is not satisfied , he can visit their office on any working day.

4. Since, the information has been provided to the appellant by the respondent and the appellant is not present, hence it is presumed that he is satisfied with the information provided by the respondent.





AC No. 3444 of 2020

5. In view of the foregoing, no cause of action is left, hence the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated :02.09.2021

(Preety Chawla) **State Information Commissioner** Punjab Note : After the order was dictated in the open court, the Complainant Sh. Suresh Kumar informed telephonically that he could not attend the hearing due to his personal reasons. He was read out the

Sd/-

Dated :02.09.2021

above order.

(Preety Chawla) State Information Commissioner Punjab



Sh. Suresh Kumar, S/o Sh. Om Parkash First and Second Floor,W.No. 20, Piara Lal Thekedar Street, P/o Mansa – 151505 (01652-233614)

.....Complainant

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o Commissioner Faridkot Division, Faridkot

.....Respondent

CC No. 848 of 2020 Through CISCO-Webex

Present: None for the parties.

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 10.08.2021 vide which on the request of the complainant the case was adjourned.

2. Today neither the complainant nor the respondent is present.

3. A perusal of the file shows that this is the complaint case and in the complaint cases, it is to be seen whether the intention of the respondent-PIO is clear or not. In this case, the respondent-PIO has given reply to the RTI application within stipulated time. Hence, the complaint case filed in the Commission is **disposed of and closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties

Sd/-

Dated :02.09.2021

(Preety Chawla)

State Information Commissioner Punjab

Note : After the order was dictated in the open court, the Complainant Sh. Suresh Kumar informed telephonically that he could not attend the hearing due to his personal reasons. He was read out the above order. Sd/-

Dated :02.09.2021

(Preety Chawla) State Information Commissioner Punjab



Sh. Suresh Kumar, S/o Sh. Om Parkash First and Second Floor,W.No. 20, Piara Lal Thekedar Street, P/o Mansa – 151505 (01652-233614)

.....Complainant

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o Commissioner Faridkot Division, Faridkot

.....Respondent

CC No. 847of 2020 Through CISCO-Webex

Present: None for the parties.

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 10.08.2021 vide which on the request of the complainant the case was adjourned.

2. Today neither the complainant nor the respondent is present.

3. A perusal of the file shows that this is the complaint case and in the complaint cases, it is to be seen whether the intention of the respondent-PIO is clear or not. In this case, the respondent-PIO has given reply to the RTI application within stipulated time. Hence, the complaint case filed in the Commission is **disposed of and closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties

Sd/-

Dated :02.09.2021

(Preety Chawla) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Note : After the order was dictated in the open court, the Complainant Sh. Suresh Kumar informed telephonically that he could not attend the hearing due to his personal reasons. He was read out the above order. Sd/-

Dated :02.09.2021

(Preety Chawla) State Information Commissioner Punjab



Sh. Naresh Dilawari, R/o 3440, Sector 35D, Chandigarh (9814033593)

-----Complainant

Vs.

Public Information Officer, O/o Police Commissioner, Ludhiana

-----Respondent

Complaint Case No. 842 of 2021 Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: None for the respondent

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 14.07.2021.

2. An email has been received from the respondent mentioning therein that the complete information has been sent to the complainant. He has also sent the acknowledgment of the complainant. Copy of the same is taken on record.

3. Since, the complainant has received the information, no cause of action is left, hence the complaint case filed by the complainant is **disposed of and closed.** Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Preety Chawla) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Dated :02.09.2021



Ms. Prabhjot Kaur, D/o Sh. Darshan Singh, R/o 84, New Jawahar Nagar, Jalandhar

Vs.

-----Complainant

Public Information Officer, O/o Secretary Governing Council, Lyallpur Khalsa College, Jalandhar

-----Respondent

<u>Complaint Case No. 617 of 2021</u> <u>Through CISCO WEBEX</u>

Present: None for the parties.

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 14.07.2021.

2. Today neither the complainant nor the respondent is present.

3. A perusal of the file contents reveals that the respondent has sent his reply to the Commission via mail stating that the "complainant had requested for 1) Service book 2) Statement of salary (breakup). In this regard, it is submitted that the statement of salary statement has been provided while the complainant has so far visited the college to append her thumb impression and signature on the service book for reconstruction of the service book so that the certified copies of the same may also be provided."

4. I have gone through the reply and have agreed with the same. Moreover, this is the complaint case and in the complaint cases, it is to be seen whether the intention of the respondent-PIO is clear or not. In this case, the respondent-PIO has given reply to the RTI application within stipulated time. Hence, the complaint case filed in the Commission is **disposed of and closed.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties

Sd/-(Preety Chawla) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Dated :02.09.2021



Ms. Prabhjot Kaur, D/o Sh. Darshan Singh, R/o 84, New Jawahar Nagar, Jalandhar

Vs.

-----Complainant

Public Information Officer, O/o Secretary Governing Council, Lyallpur Khalsa College, Jalandhar

-----Respondent

Complaint Case No. 618 of 2021 Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: None for the parties.

<u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 14.07.2021.

2. Today neither the complainant nor the respondent is present.

3. A perusal of the file contents reveals that the respondent has sent his reply to the Commission via mail stating that the "complainant had requested for 1) Service book 2) Statement of salary (breakup). In this regard, it is submitted that the statement of salary statement has been provided while the complainant has so far visited the college to append her thumb impression and signature on the service book for reconstruction of the service book so that the certified copies of the same may also be provided."

4. I have gone through the reply and have agreed with the same. Moreover, this is the complaint case and in the complaint cases, it is to be seen whether the intention of the respondent-PIO is clear or not. In this case, the respondent-PIO has given reply to the RTI application within stipulated time. Hence, the complaint case filed in the Commission is **disposed of and closed.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties

Sd/-(Preety Chawla) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Dated :02.09.2021

Sh. Pawan Kumar Sharma, Advocate S/o Late Sh. Bhagwant Kishore, House No. 585, Phase 2, Mohali

.....Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o Sr. Medical Officer, Civil Hospital, Phase-6, Mohali

.....Respondent

CC.No. 03 of 2020 (Through CISCO-Webex)

<u>ORDER</u>

The judgment in this case was reserved on 26.08.2021.

2. Vide my order dated 31.05.2021, penalty of Rs. 5000/- was imposed upon Smt. Areet Kaur, who has served as Senior Medical Officer, District Hospital Mohali from 10.01.2020 to 31.12.2020 and it was ordered that Director, Health and Welfare Punjab shall ensure that the same shall be deducted from the salary of Madam Areet Kaur. Subsequently, Madam Areet Kaur on 27.08.2021 made an affidavit seeking review/recall of the order dated 31.05.2021 insofar as it relates to the imposition of penalty upon her. In her affidavit it is stated that Dr. Manjit Singh was the Senior Medical Officer when the complainant has filed RTI. She further adds that she personally attended the case on 17.03.2020 and sought some time. Due to Covid-19 pandemic Civil Hospital Mohali was transformed into Covid Care Hospital in the last week of March 2020 . Due to the rise in the Covid cases, she was not able to attend the next hearing i.e. 29.06.2020 and 19.10.2020. The office staff was also involved with Covid related services. She did not come to know of the summons as the hospital work was disrupted because of Covid.





CC.No. 03 of 2020

3. In these circumstances, it is prayed that the order imposing penalty be recalled. The perusal of the record discloses that Pawan Sharma has filed his complaint on 14.07.2019 with the department, when Sh. Manjit Singh was the Senior Medical Officer Incharge. Smt. Areet Kaur hold the charge as Senior Medical Officer in Mohali with effect from 10.01.2020. The delay in the disposal of the application, therefore, occurred not during the time when Smt. Areet Kaur was holding the charge of the office of Senior Medical Officer, Mohali . It, thus, transpires that she is not liable to be penalized under Section 20 of the RTI Act.

4. In view of the foregoing, the order dated 31.05.2021 imposing penalty upon the Respondent is recalled and she is discharged from the liability to pay fine. The case is **disposed of and closed.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated: 02.09.2021

(Preety Chawla) State Information Commissioner Punjab